

UNITED STA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
	08/922,240	08/27/97	SCHREIBER		s	APV-007.01
Г	-		tibat on zoono a	一	EXAMINER	
	PATENT GROUP		HM12/0224	_	HAUDA,	К
	FOLEY HOAG				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ONE POST OF BOSTON MA O	FICE SQUARE 2109			1632	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

02/24/00

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95) 1- File Copy

Advisory Action

Application No.

08/922,240

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit Karen M. Hauda

1632

Schreiber et al.



		OD FOR DECRONICE. (about and as h))					
IН		OD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]					
	a) [_	expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
	b) [expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	date on	ension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of ning the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be ed from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
X	Appella period	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on <u>Feb 7, 2000</u> (or within any period for response set forth above, whichever is later). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Ap but	plicant is NO	's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 7, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, T deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
X	The pr	oposed amendment(s):					
	🛛 wi	🗴 will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.					
	□ wi	Il not be entered because:					
		they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).					
		they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).					
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.						
		they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
	NO.	TE:					
		oplicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): ne rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph are withdrawn upon entry of the after final amendment.					
	Newly separa	y proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in a ate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
X	for all	ffidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition owance because: applicants response reduces some of the issues, the claims are still rejected for the reasons of record. Claim 2					
	is still	directed to hematopoietic cells, and none of the claims are limited to the enabled combination of mutated					
		The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
X	For pu	urposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):					
	Claim	s allowed:					
		s objected to:					
	Claim	s rejected: <u>1-27, 29-33, 36, 38, and 39</u>					
	The p	roposed drawing correction filed on hashas not been approved by the Examiner.					
	Note	lote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).					
X	Other	The 35 USC 112, first paragraph rejection is maintained. Applicants have failed to demonstrate a correlation between in vitro inhibition of T-cells and in vivo treatment of GvHD. KAREN M. HAUDA PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1632					